

**Schedule A of Exhibit \_\_ (JJB-1)  
Historic and Projected Non-Labor Costs of  
Manufactured Gas Plant  
Site Investigation and Remediation Program**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Actual Costs For Year Ending 3/31/2007</b>	<b>Actual Costs For Year Ending 3/31/2008</b>	<b>Actual Costs For Year Ending 3/31/2009</b>	<b>Projected Costs for Year Ending 12/31/2009</b>	<b>Projected Costs for Year Ending 12/31/2010</b>	<b>Projected Costs for Year Ending 6/30/2011</b>
Newburgh	\$1,968	\$3,648	\$1,650	\$3,318	\$10,661	\$10,620
Laurel St.		\$243	\$2,866	\$1,128	\$181	\$96
N. Water St.		\$151	\$558	\$1,129	\$663	\$715
Kingston		\$104	\$38	\$38	\$183	\$134
Saugerties	\$171	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bayeaux St.		\$6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Catskill		\$310	\$98	\$145	\$98	\$178
Beacon		\$931	-\$42	\$9	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,140</b>	<b>\$5,393</b>	<b>\$5,168</b>	<b>\$5,766</b>	<b>\$11,787</b>	<b>\$11,744</b>

Schedule B of Exhibit \_\_(JJB-1)  
Projected Non-Labor Costs of the  
Newburgh Manufactured Gas Plant  
Site Investigation and Remediation Program

Task	2009				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Supplemental PID	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
NAPL Recovery/Monitoring	\$ 1,640	\$ 5,405	\$ 6,630	\$ 6,630	\$ 20,305
Design	\$ 153,707	\$ 541,509	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 745,216
Const. Support	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 262,500
<b>City of Newburgh Engineer</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
NAPL rem/ISCO/IC (B4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Barrier Wall/IC (B7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ 1,950,000	\$ 2,200,000
Sediment Rem/IC (C5/C5A)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Engineering Report	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
O&M	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DEC Labor	\$ 80,074	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,074
Misc & Program Allocation	\$ 56,222	\$ 47,113	\$ 43,615	\$ 36,615	\$ 183,565
<b>Total</b>	\$ 291,643	\$ 594,027	\$ 481,495	\$ 2,134,495	\$ 3,501,660

Task	2010				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Supplemental PID	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
NAPL Recovery/Monitoring	\$ 5,800	\$ 5,800	\$ 5,800	\$ 5,800	\$ 23,200
Design	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Const. Support	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 525,000
<b>City of Newburgh Engineer</b>	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 40,000
NAPL rem/ISCO/IC (B4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Barrier Wall/IC (B7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sediment Rem/IC (C5/C5A)	\$ 980,000	\$ 3,920,000	\$ 3,920,000	\$ 980,000	\$ 9,800,000
Engineering Report	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
O&M	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DEC Labor	\$ -	\$ 114,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114,000
Misc & Program Allocation	\$ 39,785	\$ 39,785	\$ 39,785	\$ 39,785	\$ 159,140
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,166,835	\$ 4,220,835	\$ 4,106,835	\$ 1,166,835	\$ 10,661,340

Task	2011				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Supplemental PID	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
NAPL Recovery/Monitoring	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 24,000
Design	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Const. Support	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250	\$ 525,000
<b>City of Newburgh Engineer</b>	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 40,000
NAPL rem/ISCO/IC (B4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Barrier Wall/IC (B7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sediment Rem/IC (C5/C5A)	\$ 980,000	\$ 3,920,000	\$ 3,920,000	\$ 980,000	\$ 9,800,000
Engineering Report	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
O&M	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DEC Labor	\$ -	\$ 114,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114,000
Misc & Program Allocation	\$ 19,035	\$ 19,035	\$ 19,035	\$ 19,035	\$ 76,140
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,146,285	\$ 4,200,285	\$ 4,086,285	\$ 1,246,285	\$ 10,679,140

Task	2012				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Supplemental PID	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
NAPL Recovery/Monitoring	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 24,000
Design	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Const. Support	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>City of Newburgh Engineer</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
NAPL rem/ISCO/IC (B4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Barrier Wall/IC (B7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sediment Rem/IC (C5/C5A)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Engineering Report	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
O&M	\$ 78,750	\$ 78,750	\$ 78,750	\$ 78,750	\$ 315,000
DEC Labor	\$ -	\$ 114,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114,000
Misc & Program Allocation	\$ 14,597	\$ 14,597	\$ 14,597	\$ 14,597	\$ 58,388
<b>Total</b>	\$ 99,347	\$ 213,347	\$ 99,347	\$ 99,347	\$ 511,388

**CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC CORPORATION**  
**CASES 09-E-XXXX AND 09-G-XXXX**  
**PROJECTION OF GAS LEAK REPAIRS OF DISTRIBUTION MAIN (NON-LABOR)**

<u>PSC ACCOUNT</u>	<u>FUNCTION</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>Accounts Payable</u>	<u>Journal Voucher</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u># of Leaks Repaired</u>	<u>Cost Per Repair</u>
887.00	85520	Leak Repairs Distribution Main	<u>732,469</u>	<u>(13,577)</u>	<u>718,892</u>		

For Exhibit PSC Account - 887.00 (Distribution)

<u>Breakdown of Repair:</u>						<u>Normalization Adjustment</u>	<u>Adjusted</u>
(1) Contractor Repair	<u>229,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>229,000</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>2,411</u>	<u>843</u> (3)	<u>3,254</u>
(2) Other Expenses	<u>503,469</u>	<u>(13,577)</u>	<u>489,892</u>	<u>364</u>	<u>1,346</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1,346</u>
Total - Contractor Repair & Other	<u>732,469</u>	<u>(13,577)</u>	<u>718,892</u>				

**Projection:**

	(4) <u>Growth Rate</u>	<u>Contractor Repair - Projection</u>			<u>Other Expenses - Projection</u>			<u>Total Contractor &amp; Other</u>	
		<u>Costs per Leak</u>	<u># of Leaks Repaired</u>	<u>Gas Leak Repair Expense</u>	<u>Costs per Leak</u>	<u># of Leaks Repaired</u>	<u>Gas Leak Repair Expense</u>		
2009	Over Historic Year @	1.0110	3,289	<u>131</u>	<u>430,901</u>	1,361	<u>419</u>	<u>570,117</u>	<u>1,001,018</u>
2010	Over 2009 @	1.0130	3,332	<u>204</u>	<u>679,744</u>	1,378	<u>492</u>	<u>678,148</u>	<u>1,357,892</u>
RYE 6/30/11	Over 2010 @	1.0090	3,362	<u>204</u>	<u>685,862</u>	1,391	<u>492</u>	<u>684,254</u>	<u>1,370,116</u>
RYE 6/30/12	Over RY1 @	1.0180	3,423	<u>164</u>	<u>561,305</u>	1,416	<u>452</u>	<u>639,937</u>	<u>1,201,242</u>
RYE 6/30/13	Over RY2 @	1.0190	3,488	<u>123</u>	<u>428,977</u>	1,443	<u>411</u>	<u>592,946</u>	<u>1,021,923</u>

**Footnotes:**

**Projections are based on premise that backlog of leak repairs is at or below target of 250.**

(1) Service provided is excavation repair of pipeline.

(2) Services provided include paving, flagging, materials & permits for the total number of repairs.

(3) Due to timing of billing and favorable pricing, the historic period is not reflective of costs incurred in prior periods and the 2nd quarter of 2009.

Therefore, an average of 2006 through 2008 was used in lieu of the historic period.

(4) Annual growth rate based on GDP Index .